

**REMARKS**

Claims 50-65 are pending with none having been allowed. This application is a continuation of the application that matured into U.S. Patent No. 7,092,891. In response to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Applicant has amended claim 50 to include the limitations of claim 51 and claim 58 to include the limitations of claim 59 as suggested in the Official Action. Applicant has also filed a Terminal Disclaimer in favor of U.S. Patent No. 7,092,891 in response to Non-Statutory Double Patenting rejection. Applicant has further amended claims 51 and 58 to state that "the correlation information stored on the removable memory storage correlates the associated patient identification information maintained on the first remote server with the medical data maintained on the second remote server." Applicant submits that the removable memory storage as recited in the amended claims is a type of "correlation table" as recited in claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 7,092,891. Accordingly, the amended claims recite the patentable subject matter similar to U.S. Patent No. 7,092,891, but are drafted to read on a removable memory storage device that serves as the correlation element for the dual-server secure medical records maintenance system. Applicant therefore submits that the claims of the present application should be allowable upon entry of the Terminal Disclaimer in favor of U.S. Patent No. 7,092,891.

The cited references, WO 98/11820 and U.S. 6,055,506, do not disclose or suggest a removable memory storage device that stores correlation information for a dual-server medical data maintenance system in which the medical data maintained on the second remote server cannot be correlated to the associated patient identification information maintained on the first remote server based on the information contained on the first and second remote servers. This type of system provides a higher level of

security for the medical data than the password checker described in U.S. 6,055,506. Moreover, the combination of WO 98/11820 and U.S. 6,055,506 would merely produce a data storage system with a password checker, and would not produce the claimed removable memory storage device for a two-server medical data maintenance system. Therefore, the cited combination cannot form the basis of a *prima facie* case of obviousness because each and every element of the claimed invention as recited in the amended claims 50 and 58 is not shown or suggested in at least one of the references. MPEP § 2143.03.

### **CONCLUSION**

It is believed that the claims are now in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that there are any issues that can be resolved by a telephone conference, or that there are any informalities that can be corrected by an Examiner's amendment, please call Mike Mehrman at (404) 497-7400.

Respectfully submitted,

***/Michael J. Mehrman/***  
By: Michael J. Mehrman  
Reg. No. 40,086

Mehrman Law Office, P.C.  
5605 Glenridge Drive, Suite 795  
Atlanta, GA 30342  
404 497 7400 telephone  
404 497 7405 facsimile  
mike@mehrmanlaw.com